



Briefing Paper

The Effects of Excess Fine Sediment in Rivers.

- Excess fine sediment, derived from anthropogenic activities, is a very important cause of deterioration in water and habitat quality and aquatic biodiversity.
- The Water Framework Directive objective of 'good ecological status' cannot be achieved without addressing this pressure.
- Urgent action is required by Defra to identify and use a suitable framework for establishing revised sediment targets for catchment compliance across England and Wales.

Sediment loadings delivered to watercourses originate from a number of upstream primary and secondary sediment sources, including cultivated fields and bank erosion (Collins *et al.*, 1997a,b,c). Erosion processes and sediment delivery form an integral part of aquatic systems, influencing their geomorphology, habitat distribution and water quality. Aquatic communities are adapted, and hence able to cope with, natural 'baseline' sediment inputs. Indeed, healthy freshwater ecosystems require sediment inputs to maintain habitat and nutrient fluxes.

However, at a global scale, suspended solid (SS) concentrations in many rivers have dramatically changed in recent years (Walling, 2006). Existing evidence suggests that natural sediment loadings have been substantially exceeded in many catchments in the UK, particularly since World War II (Evans, 2006).

The main anthropogenic activities increasing sediment supply to watercourses include:

- Changes in agricultural practices; for example, increased areas of arable cultivation, leading to greater areas of bare exposed soil susceptible to erosion by winter rainfall (Greig, *et al.*, 2005), and mechanised farm practises which compact the soil and increase runoff and soil erosion (McMellin *et al.*, 2002; Bilotta, *et al.*, 2007). For instance, sediment fingerprinting research indicated 61% of the sediment load of the River Tweed in Scotland was derived from arable and pasture topsoils (Owen *et al.*, 2000).
- Intensification of agricultural practices; for example, increasing stock density (Heaney *et al.*, 2001) and multiple cropping on arable land.
- Increased bank erosion due to loss of natural hydrology.

Sediment in the water column can be measured in three main ways 1) turbidity; the optical (light scattering) property of the water, 2) total SS; direct measurement of particulate weight present in a volume of water and 3) water clarity; also an optical property of water. Deposited sediment can also be measured using sediment traps. Despite this, there is a distinct lack of SS monitoring data from around the UK, mainly due to cost implications but also a historic perception that other water quality parameters were of greater importance.

Effects of Excess Sediment on Fish and Aquatic Ecosystems

Excessive fine sediment, in suspension or deposited, can have damaging impacts on all life stages of fish, particularly salmonids. This has been made worse for salmonids by a shift in the timings of arable cultivation in the UK, from spring to autumn sown cereals, which now coincides with their egg incubation times (Collins and Walling, 2007; Collins *et al.*, 2008).

The effect on ecosystems will, however, depend on several key factors, including: the concentration of fine sediment in suspension; the duration of exposure to fine sediment; and the sediment chemical composition and particle size (Bilotta and Brazier, 2008). This makes determining the impact of SS on fauna and flora difficult to generalise, quantify and compare. However, generic consequences of increased SS concentrations in the water column for fish can include:

Physiological effects (stressors on physical health)

- Mortality

The relationship between SS concentration and direct mortality is highly complex. The effect of sediment on fish will vary depending on life stage, time of year, size of fish, composition of sediment and the availability of off-channel habitat (Bash *et al.*, 2001), as well as the exposure magnitude, duration and frequency (Servizi and Martens, 1992). For example, in a review of published critical SS concentration thresholds based on dose-response experiments examining impaired growth, reduced feeding and mortality, Berry *et al.* (2003) reported ranges of 27-80,000 mg l⁻¹ for mollusca and 4-330,000 mg l⁻¹ for various fish species. Such ranges in the severity of effect of SS concentration are a function of associated stressors including sediment particle size, species life history stage, temperature, the presence of sediment-associated contaminants and sediment load duration (Swietlik *et al.*, 2003). Due to the complex interaction of such stressors, it is unlikely that a comprehensive list of genus-based critical SS concentration targets can be developed in the short-term (USEPA, 2003).

- Reduced reproduction and growth through the degradation of spawning habitat/redds and smothering of eggs and yolk-sac fry

Salmonid eggs (as well as many cyprinid fish and lamprey eggs) require a well-oxygenated environment during the embryonic development stage, so eggs are laid in permeable gravel beds with interstitial pore spaces which allow the passage of oxygenated water. Excess fine sediment in the water, when deposited, can clog these interstitial pores, obstructing the circulation of oxygenated water, which reduces egg survival (Carling, 1984; Magee *et al.*, 1996). Salmonid egg mortality of between 98-100% has been recorded within spawning gravels experiencing high siltation loads (Turnpenny and Williams, 1980). The effect is particularly damaging when sediments contain a high organic component, as its subsequent decomposition also reduces oxygen from the water (Rubin, 1995). Excess deposited sediment can also reduce interstitial and hyporheic (region beneath streambed) flow velocities (Chapman, 1988; Acornley and Sear, 1999). This decreases the natural flushing process, which removes the harmful metabolic waste excreted by the embryos (Burkhalter and Kaya, 1975). SS can also be damaging to fish species, such as perch and roach, depositing eggs on macrophytes, as silt particles can adhere to the eggs and reduce oxygen and carbon dioxide exchange (Stuart, 1953).

Fine sediment can also exert sub-lethal effects on fish fry including: delaying emergence by trapping fry in interstitial pores (Phillips *et al.*, 1975); and premature hatching of smaller and poorer quality fry, due to exposure to low dissolved oxygen concentrations (Alderdice *et al.*, 1958; Mason, 1969). Researchers have found a relationship between fine sediment (less than 0.850 mm) and fry survival, with decreasing survival of up to 3.4% for each 1% increase in fine sediment (Cederholm *et al.*, 1981).

- Gill irritation/trauma

Fish gills are delicate and easily damaged by abrasive sediment particles. Fish species have been found with increasing levels of deformities, eroded fins, lesions, tumours, gill flaring and 'coughing', all related to increasing SS in the water column (Berg, 1982; Schleiger, 2000).

- Alter blood physiology

Research has show increases in plasma glucose (Servizi and Martens, 1987), blood sugar levels (Servizi and Martens, 1992) and plasma cortisol (Redding *et al.*, 1987) in fish species exposed to fine sediment. These are all indicators of stress, and can impact physiological systems, reduce growth and decrease immunological competence against other stressors, such as disease. Stress

to salmonids can also affect the smoltification process, leading to decreased osmoregulatory ability, impaired migrations and a reduction in early marine survival (Wedemeyer and McLeay, 1981).

Behavioural (changes in activity)

- Impede movement

Migrating fish species, such as salmonids, are commonly known to migrate through high SS concentrations in estuaries. However, like other containments, exposure time is a key element in the impact of SS as well as concentration (Newcombe and MacDonald, 1991). This means high exposure rates to sediment loads can halt fish migration, particularly upstream. Fish are known to exhibit avoidance reactions and move away from the vicinity of adverse sediment conditions, if refuge conditions are present (Sigler *et al.*, 1984; Bash *et al.*, 2001).

- Alters foraging behaviour and reduces territoriality

Turbidity can reduce feeding rates, and affect prey selection and prey abundance. This is particularly significant for visual feeders, such as salmonids, where SS can reduce the effectiveness of them obtaining food (Berg, 1982). However, research also suggests the turbid-clear water interface may sometimes assist feeding, by offering concealment and protection within the turbid water (Scullion and Edwards, 1980).

Pulses of turbid water have also been shown to breakdown normal social organisation and territoriality, which can decrease feeding rates and may affect overall growth rates (Berg, 1982).

Habitat effects (changes to spawning and nursery habitat):

- Reduction in available spawning habitat

Excess fine sediment, when deposited within rivers, can clog potential spawning gravels, therefore reducing suitable spawning habitat.

- Reduced river bed habitat and shelter for fish fry and parr, leading to lower fry and parr density

The deposition of sediment on the river bed changes and degrades physical habitat for bottom dwelling fish and fry (Lisle and Lewis, 1992). The sediment fills in the spaces between substrate particles, creating a smoother riverbed (Diplas and Parker, 1992). This, not only reduces the available habitat complexity and availability, but also increases water velocity and the need for shelter from the water current (Richardson and Jowett, 2002).

Sedimentation also decreases habitat connectivity (Cohen, 1995) and heterogeneity (Boles, 1981).

Tropic effects:

- Changes in invertebrate communities in response to high and persistent sediment loads (which are well documented) can change food sources, particularly for juvenile salmonids
- Negatively impact invertebrate assemblages and abundances

This occurs through; scour damage, burial of heavy or immobile species, the clogging of gills or feeding structures, and a reduction in interstitial habitat and primary production (Newcombe and MacDonald, 1991).

Benthic invertebrate drift rates have shown to increase in SS concentration as little as 8mg/l (Rosenberg and Wiens, 1978) and population size has been shown to reduce by 77% when exposed to 62 mg/l of SS for 100 days (Wagener and LaPerriere, 1985). A 40% reduction in stream invertebrate species diversity has been recorded in response to prolonged SS concentrations of 133 mg/l over the period of a year (Nuttall and Bielby, 1973)

- Sedimentation can affect aquatic biota at both a population and community level, and result in homogenization; the replacement of regionally distinct faunas with a few invasive and disturbance tolerant species (Walters *et al.*, 2003). This could be a serious threat to biodiversity, both now and in the future, by reducing species' resilience to climate change. Sedimentation can also increase the susceptibility of invasive species such as Canadian pondweed and the common carp, which potentially have major disruptive effects on aquatic ecosystems.

In addition to their direct impacts upon fish, suspended sediments, and the consequences of their fall-out in aquatic ecosystems also have a wide range of ecosystem-scale effects which, in turn, have impacts on fish populations. These include:

Transfer of pollutants:

- Fine sediment exerts an important control on the transfer and fate of a wide range of agricultural and industrial contaminants (Warren *et al.*, 2003; Collins *et al.*, 2005). Sediment therefore represents an important vector for contaminants such as phosphorus (Haygarth *et al.*, 2005); heavy metals (Neal *et al.*, 1999) and organic pollutants like sheep dip substances (Long *et al.*, 1998). These associated pollutants can alter species assemblages by poisoning the water system, and accelerating eutrophication. The capacity of fine sediment to bind contaminants can also lead to an increase in the resident times of the pollutants in aquatic systems (Foster and Charlesworth, 1996), thereby increasing exposure times and the opportunity for pollutant remobilisation.

Reduced primary productivity:

- Suspended solids reduce water clarity and increase turbidity, exerting a negative effect upon primary production. Research suggests in subarctic Alaskan streams concentrations of SS as little as 8mg/l can reduce primary production by 3-13% (Lloyd, 1987), and above 2100 mg/l no primary production can occur (Van Nieuwenhuysse and LaPerriere, 1986).

Depressed oxygen levels in the water:

- Suspended solids can contribute towards raising the Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD; Petts *et al.*, 2002), and hence lowered oxygen levels potentially to stressful or lethal levels for vulnerable species and life stages.

Costs of river management:

- Sedimentation increases dredging costs needed to remove sediment for navigation and flood defence purposes (Bates and Hooper, 1997), reduce reservoir capacity (White *et al.*, 1996), and increase water treatment costs.

In summary, high concentrations of SS can also negatively impact fish assemblages by reducing; 1) the diversity of sensitive species, 2) overall population abundance, 3) the proportion of lithophilic (associated with a stony substrate) spawners, and 4) the proportion of omnivores (generalist feeders which consume both plant and animal matter) within the overall population. All of these factors can have impacts even at sublethal concentrations of suspended solids, cumulatively reducing the resilience of fish species and hence their resistance to environmental stresses including other forms of pollution, predators, disease and over-exploitation.

Current Management

The current UK standard for SS concentration is set by the EU Freshwater Fish Directive (FFD). The FFD stipulates that SS concentrations should not exceed a guideline annual mean of 25mg/l. This is only a 'guideline' standard which should be achieved where possible. No 'imperative' standards (standards which must be met) currently exist for SS in the UK. In August 2006, UKTAG made the decision to continue running with the guideline threshold in the FFD until this Directive is repealed in 2013.

For the purpose of sediment policy support, a recent modelling study investigated catchment compliance across England and Wales using the FFD guideline standard (Collins and Anthony, 2008a). The study

provided the first national scale assessment of sediment sources for England and Wales under current environmental conditions (year 2000), suggesting that source contributions are in the order: agricultural sector (1929 kt = 76%) eroding channel banks (394 kt = 15%), diffuse urban sources (147 kt = 6%) and point source discharges (76 kt = 3%). A structured regression model was used to convert the predicted total sediment loadings into time-averaged suspended sediment concentrations at national scale. The findings suggested that approximately 83% of the total catchment area of England and Wales appears to require no further reductions in sediment loss to rivers from diffuse agricultural sources for the purpose of meeting 'Good Ecological Status' (GES) as defined by the Water Framework Directive (WFD). It is important to note, however, that the use of the FFD sediment threshold failed to identify catchments across England and Wales where the detrimental impacts of sediment are widely reported e.g. the chalk catchments of southern and eastern England. Chalk catchments are particularly vulnerable to sedimentation due to the lack of any significant flushing effect owing to their baseflow-dominated hydrology.

Collins *et al.* (2007) used the structured modelling methodology to predict the impact of projected structural evolution in agriculture (land use change) and the uptake of sediment mitigation methods due to programmes like the England Catchment Sensitive Farming Delivery Initiative (ECSFDI) on annual mean suspended sediment concentrations across England and Wales by 2015. This work suggested that structural and mitigation work could potentially reduce the national sediment loss from the agricultural sector by 9% by 2015.

There are, however, serious concerns regarding the use of a single global threshold concentration for suspended sediment. This is because of the large variability of effects caused by SS, the diversity of habitat and conditions within catchments, the existence of sub-threshold effects on both fish and their supporting ecosystems, and the failure of an annual mean to capture the highly episodic nature of sediment pressures which are focused during flood events.

Given the problems associated with using a global annual average sediment threshold, alternative sediment targets were recently proposed for England and Wales. The alternative sediment target scheme (Cooper *et al.*, 2008) is based on nationally extrapolated suspended sediment yields and uses the lower quartile of the measured ranges for catchment types to represent potential targets and the upper quartiles as critical thresholds. These tentative targets are designed to be used in a nested manner and are intended to be used to help inform the identification of local thresholds. Collins and Anthony (2008b) recently used a structured modelling framework taking explicit account of sediment sources derived from different societal sectors to assess catchment compliance at national scale across England and Wales using these alternative sediment targets. This work successfully identified catchments where negative sediment impacts on fish are being reported.

However, the use of sediment yields to represent sediment targets is undermined by a number of problems. Since suspended sediment fluxes represent the aggregate of sediment delivery, their utility is best found in helping to define overall catchment response to environmental pressures as opposed to ecological impacts. Reliable coupling of sediment loadings to ecological impacts requires understanding of additional metrics such as sediment deposition and flushing and sediment grain size characteristics.

It is important to highlight that all modelling data is based by common consensus on inadequate knowledge of all pathways and adequate monitoring data assumptions and therefore should not be used in isolation, but as part of an integrated modelling and monitoring approach, to help manage uncertainty and ground truth results. Anecdotal evidence from stakeholders on the impacts of fine sediments upon ecosystem can also provide important insight, and therefore should not be ignored.

Action Required

The evidence here highlights the threats our aquatic fauna and flora face because of excess fine sediment pressures. The WFD objective of GES cannot be achieved without addressing this important pressure. Given the problems associated with using the FFD threshold or the alternative sediment yield based target scheme, urgent action is required to identify more meaningful revised sediment targets for England and Wales. Revised targets must take more explicit account of the impacts of sediment on aquatic ecology and should be developed in a catchment-specific manner (Collins and McGonigle, 2008). A generic measurement of SS is not reliable; therefore we feel SS management should focus on the river basin scale to ensure source control, taking more account of observed impacts rather than modelled inputs.

The S&TA calls for:

- Urgent action by Defra to identify and use a suitable framework for establishing revised sediment targets for catchment compliance across England and Wales, taking better account of the impacts of sediment on ecology. This requires a combined modelling and monitoring approach, for which proportionate investment is required to redress historic oversight of the importance of suspended sediment.
- Defra to monitor and quantify the efficacy of sediment mitigation options identified in the Inventory of Methods to Control Diffuse Water Pollution from Agriculture (DWPA) User Manual (Cuttle *et al.*, 2007) so that mitigation programmes like ECSFDI can be accessed. If quantifiable improvements cannot be shown, further measures (compulsory if necessary) must be put in place, such as water protection zones (WPZ).

Conclusions

Natural sediment pressures within river systems vary dramatically depending on catchment topography, geology, vegetation, local climate and land use (Hicks and Griffiths, 1992). It is now accepted that excess sediment is a very important cause of deterioration in water quality and aquatic biodiversity (Collins *et al.*, 2008).

The WFD requires the management of watercourses and their catchments to support high quality and representative biological communities (i.e. GES). In order to achieve this, all major threats to these communities must be monitored and managed effectively as part of a WFD 'Programme of Measures'. Excess fine suspended sediment is a major threat that has been historically underestimated, and therefore must be managed within a framework addressing the multiple pressures influencing water quality and ecological status.

Preventing further damage to river habitats and associated species requires catchment-scale, holistic management, involving the cooperation and regulation of all land users. Managing excess SS requires prevention and restoration measures, all of which require sound understanding of the key sources (Collins and Walling, 2004) and appropriate monitoring to gauge catchment compliance against revised and improved catchment-specific sediment targets. In order for sediment management to progress in England and Wales, better informed sediment targets, and replicable monitoring methods are urgently required for compliance testing.

Acknowledgements

Thanks for the expertise of Dr Richard Brazier (University of Exeter), Dr Will Blake (University of Plymouth) and Dr Mark Everard (Visiting Research Fellow, University of the West of England).

References

- Acornley, R.M. and Sear, D.A. (1999). Sediment transport and sedimentation of Brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L) spawning gravels in chalk streams. *Hydrol. Process.* **13**: 447-458.
- Alderdice, D.W., Wilcett, W.P. and Brett, J.R. (1958). Some effects of exposure to low dissolved oxygen levels on Pacific salmon eggs. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* **15**: 229-250.
- Bash, J., Berman, C. and Bolton, S. (2001). Effects of Turbidity and Suspended Solids on Salmonids. Centre for Streamside Studies, University of Washington.
- Bates, A.D. and Hooper, A.G. (1997). *Inland dredging- guidance on good practise*. CIRIA Publication Code: R169.
- Berg, L. (1982). The effect of exposure to short-term pulses of suspended sediment on the behaviour of juvenile salmonids. In: Hartman, G.F. (Ed). Proceedings of the Carnation Creek workshop: a ten-year review. Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo, Canada.
- Berry, W., Rubinstein, N., Melzian, B. and Hill, B. (2003). The biological effects of suspended and bedded sediment (SABS) in aquatic systems: a review. US Environment Protection Agency, National Health and Environmental Health Effects Laboratory, Rhode Island, Internal Report, 58pp.
- Bilotta, G.S. and Brazier, R.E. (2008). Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. *Water Res.* doi: 10/1016/j.watre.2008.03.018.
- Bilotta, G.S., Brazier, R.E. and Haygarth, P.M. (2007). The impacts of grazing animals on the quality of soils, vegetation, and surface waters in intensively managed grasslands. *Advances in Agronomy* **94**: 237-280
- Boles, G.R. (1981). Macro-invertebrate colonisation of replacement substrate below a hypolimnial release reservoir. *Hydrobiologia* **78**: 133-146.

- Burkhalter, D.E. and Kaya, C.M. (1975). Effects of prolonged exposure to ammonia on fertilised eggs and sac fry of Rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **106**: 470-475.
- Carling, P.A. (1984). Deposition of fine and coarse sands in an open-work gravel bed. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **41**: 263-270.
- Cederholm, C.J., Reid, L.M. and Salo, E.O. (1981). The effects of logging road landslide siltation on the salmon and trout spawning gravels of Stequaleho Creek and the Clearwater River Basin, Jefferson County, Washington, 1972-1978. FRI-UW-7915. Fisheries Research Institute, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Chapman, D.W. (1988). Critical review of variables used to define effects of fine redds of large salmonids. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **117**: 1-21.
- Cohen, A.S. (1995). Paleocological approaches to the conservation biology of benthos in ancient lakes: a case study from Lake Tanganyika. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* **14**: 654-668.
- Collins, A.L. and Anthony, S.G. (2008a). Assessing the likelihood of catchments across England and Wales meeting 'good ecological status' due to sediment contributions from agricultural sources. *Environmental Science and Policy* **11**: 163-170.
- Collins, A.L. and Anthony, S.G. (2008b) Predicting sediment inputs to aquatic ecosystems across England and Wales due to current environmental conditions. In press: *Applied Geography*.
- Collins, A.L., Anthony, S.G., Turner, T. and Hawley, J. (2007). Predicting the impact of projected change in agriculture by 2015 on annual mean fluvial suspended sediment concentrations across England and Wales. In: *Water Quality and sediment behaviour of the future: predictions for the 21st century* (pp 28-37), International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication No. 314, Wallingford, UK.
- Collins, A.L. and McGonigle, D.F. (2008). Monitoring and modelling diffuse pollution from agriculture for policy support: UK and European experience. *Environmental Science and Policy* **11**: 97-101.
- Collins, A.L. and Walling, D.E. (2004). Documenting catchment suspended sediment sources: problems, approaches and prospects. *Progress in Physical Geography* **28**: 159-196.
- Collins, A.L. and Walling, D.E. (2007). Sources of fine sediment recovered from the channel bed of lowland groundwater-fed catchments in the UK. *Geomorphology* **88**: 120-138.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E. and Leeks, G.J.L. (1997a). Source type ascription for fluvial suspended sediment based on a quantitative composite fingerprinting technique. *Catena* **29**: 1-27.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E. and Leeks, G.J.L. (1997b). Fingerprinting the origin of fluvial suspended sediment in larger river basins: combining assessment of spatial provenance and source type. *Geografiska Annaler* **79**(A): 239-254.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E. and Leeks, G.J.L. (1997c). Sediment sources in the Upper Severn catchment: a fingerprinting approach. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* **1**: 509-521.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E. and Leeks, G.J.L. (2005). Storage of fine-grained sediment and associated contaminants within the channels of lowland permeable catchments in the UK. In: *Sediment Budgets 1* (pp 259-268), International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication No. 291, Wallingford, UK.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E. and Peet, L. (2008). Identifying gravel siltation sources. *Salmo Trutta* **11**: 26-28.
- Cooper, D.M., Naden, P., Old, G. & Laize, C. (2008). *Development of guideline sediment targets to support management of sediment inputs into aquatic systems*. Natural England Research Report NERR008. Natural England, Sheffield.
- Cuttle, S.P., Macleod, C.J.A., Chadwick, D.R., Scholefield, D., Haygarth, P.M., Newell-Price, P., Harris, D., Shepherd, M.A., Chambers, B.J., Humphrey, R. (2007). An inventory of methods to control diffuse water pollution from agriculture (DWPA): User manual. Report to Defra Project ES0203.
- Diplas, P. and Parker, G. (1992). *Deposition and removal of fines in gravel-bed streams*. In: Billi, P. Hey, R.D., Thorne, C.R. and Tacconi, R. (Eds). Dynamics of gravel-bed rivers. London. John Wiley and Sons.
- Evans, R. (2006). Land use, sediment delivery and sediment yield in England and Wales. In: Owens, P.N. and Collins, A.J. (eds), Soil erosion and sediment redistribution in river catchments. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 70-84.
- Foster, I.D.L. and Charlesworth, S.M. (1996). Heavy metals in the hydrological cycle: trends and explanation. *Hydrol. Process* **10**: 227-261.
- Greig, S.M., Sear, D.A. and Carling, P.A. (2005). The impact of fine sediment accumulation on the survival of incubating salmon progeny: Implications for sediment management. *Science of the Total Environment* **344**: 241-258.
- Haygarth, P.M., Condon, L.M., Heathwaite, A.L., Turner, B.L. and Harris, G.P. (2005). The phosphorous transfer continuum: linking source to impact with an interdisciplinary and multi-scale approach. *Science of the Total Environment* **344**: 5-14.
- Heaney, S.I., Foy, R.H., Kennedy, G.J.A., Crozier, W.W. and O'Connor, W.C.K. (2001). Impacts of agricultural on aquatic systems: lesson learnt and new unknowns in Northern Ireland. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* **52**: 151-163.
- Hicks, D.M. and Griffiths, G.A. (1992). *Sediment load*. In: Mosley, M. P. (Ed). Waters of New Zealand. Wellington, New Zealand, New Zealand Hydrological Society.
- Lisle, T.E. and Lewis, J. (1992). Effects of sediment transport on survival of salmonids embryos in a natural stream: a simulation approach. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **49**: 2337-2344.
- Long, J.L.A., House, W.A., Parker, A. and Rae, J.E. (1998). Micro-organic compounds associated with sediments in the Humber rivers. *Science of the Total Environment* **210**: 229-253.
- Lloyd, D.S. (1987). Turbidity as a water quality standard for salmonid habitats in Alaska. *North. Amer. J. Fish. Management.* **7**: 34-45.
- Magee, J.P., McMahon, T.E. and Thurow, R.F. (1996). Partial variation in spawning habitat of cutthroat trout in a sediment- rich stream basin. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **125**: 768-779.
- McMellin, G., Walling, D.E. and Nicholls, D. (2002). *Land use and Fisheries*. Report W2-046/TR1. Bristol (UK): Environment Agency.
- Mason, J.C. (1969). Hypoxial stress prior to emergence and competition among coho salmon. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* **26**: 63-91.
- Neal, C., Jarvie, H.P. and Oguchi, T. (1999). Acid-available particulate trace metals associated with suspended sediment in the Humber rivers: a regional assessment. *Hydrological Processes* **13**: 1011-1034.
- Newcombe, C.P. and MacDonald, D.D. (1991). Effects of suspended sediments of aquatic ecosystems. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* **11**: 72-82.
- Nuttall, P.M. and Bielby, G.H. (1973). The effect of china-clay wastes on stream invertebrates. *Environ. Pollut.* **5**: 77-86.
- Owens, P.N., Walling, D.E., and Leeks, G.L.J. (2000). *Tracing fluvial suspended sediment sources in the catchment of the River Tweed, Scotland, using composite fingerprints and a numerical mixing model*. In: Foster, I.D.L. (Ed). Tracers in Geomorphology. Wiley, Chichester: 291-308.
- Petts, G.E., Heathcote, J. and Martin, D. (2002). *Urban Rivers: Our Inheritance and Future*. IWA Publishing/ Environment Agency. 117pp.
- Phillips, R.W., Lantz, R.L., Claire, E.W. and Moring, J.R. (1975). Some effects of gravel mixtures on emergence of coho salmon and steelhead trout fry. *Trans. Fish. Soc.* **103** (3): 461-466.

- Redding, J.M., Schreck, C.B. and Everest, F.H. (1987). Physiological effects on coho salmon and steelhead of exposure to suspended solids. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* **116**: 737-744.
- Richardson, J. and Jowett, I.G. (2002). Effects of sediment on fish communities in East Cape streams, North Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **36**: 431-442.
- Rosenberg, D.M. and Weins, A.P. (1978). Effects of sediment addition on macrobenthic invertebrates in a northern Canadian river. *Water Research* **12**: 753-763.
- Rubin, J.F. (1998). Survival and emergence of sea trout fry in substrata of different compositions. *J. Fish. Biol.* **53**: 84-92.
- Schleiger, S.L. (2000). Use of an index of biotic integrity to detect effects of land use on stream fish communities in wet-central Georgia. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **129**: 1118-1183.
- Scullion, J. and Edwards, R.W. (1980). The effects of coal industry pollutants on the macro-invertebrate fauna of a small river in the South Wales Coalfield. *Freshwater Biol.* **10**: 141-162.
- Servizi, J.A. and Martens, D.W. (1987). Some effects of suspended Fraser River sediments on sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). In: Smith, H.D., Margolis, L. and Wood, L.L. (eds). Sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) population biology and future management. *Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **96**:254-264.
- Servizi, J.A. and Martens, D.W. (1992). Sub lethal responses of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) to suspended sediments. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **49**: 1389-1395.
- Sigler, J.W., Bjornn, T.C. and Everest, F.H. (1984). Effects of chronic turbidity on density and growth of steelheads and coho salmon. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* **113**: 142-150.
- Stuart, T.A. (1953). Spawning migration, reproduction and young stages of loch trout (*Salmo trutta*). *Freshw. Salm. Fish. Res.* **5**: 1-39.
- Swietlik, W. Berry, W., Gardner, T., Hill, B., Jha, M., Kaufmann, P., Melzian, B., Norton, D., Paul, J., Rubinstein, N., Shippen, R., Spehar, R. (2003). Developing water quality criteria for suspended and bedded sediments (SABS). Potential approaches. US EPA Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, Health and Ecological Criteria Division, USA.
- Turnpenny, A.W.H. and Williams, R. (1982). A conductometric technique for measuring the water velocity in salmonid spawning beds. *Water Res.* **16**: 1383-1390.
- USEPA. (2003). Developing water quality criteria for suspended and bedded sediments (SABS): potential approaches. US Environment Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, Washington DC, USA.
- Van Nieuwenhuysse, E.E. and LaPerriere, J.D. (1986). Effects of placer gold mining on primary production in sub-arctic streams of Alaska. *Water Resources Bulletin* **22**: 91-99.
- Wagener, S.M. and LaPerriere, J.D. (1985). Effects of placer mining on the invertebrate communities of interior Alaska. *Freshwater Invertebrate Biol.* **4**: 208-214.
- Walling, D.E. (2006). Human impact on land-ocean sediment transfer by the world's river. *Geomorphology* **79**: 192-216.
- Walters, D.M., Leigh, D.S. and Bearden, A.B. (2003). Urbanisation, sedimentation and the homogenization of fish assemblages in the Etyowah River Basin, USA. *Hydrobiologia* **494**: 5-10.
- Warren, N., Allan, I.J., Cater, J.E., House, W.A., Parker, A. (2003). Pesticides and other micro-organic contaminants in freshwater sedimentary environments – a review. *Applied Geochemistry* **18**: 159-194.
- Wedemeyer, G.A. and McLeay, D.J. (1981). *Methods for Determining the Tolerance of Fishes to Environmental Stressors*. In: Pickering, A.D. (ed). Stress and fish. Academic Press, Toronto, Ont.
- White, S., Lababz, J.C. and Butcher, D.P. (1996). The management of sediment in reservoir catchments. *Journal of the Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management* **10**: 183-189.

For Further Details please contact Janina Gray, Head of Science, on: janina@salmon-trout.org or 0207 2835838